WHY EXPLORE THE SOLAR SYSTEM?
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In September last year, the P&SC teamed up with the Parliamentary Space Committee in a special session chaired by Andrew Miller and Phillip Lee, to hear from Britain’s leading planetary scientists what we have learnt recently about our own Solar System, what more there is to find out, and why it matters.

Space still has a mixed rep in and around Parliament. Probably the most common question asked on the subject, is “I didn’t know we did space!” rapidly followed by, “why do we do space? Isn’t that a cold war superpower thing? Can we really afford to do that kind of thing?”

... rely on gas pumped through National Grid’s national network ...

There are many responses to these questions. The response to the first question, is yes, we do space. And we do it very well. Britain’s space sector now supports 83,000 jobs, and adds £9.1 billion to the economy. When you think about it, it’s perhaps not surprising. In your average day, you will quietly depend on a host of space-enabled services. The shower you take, if it is gas powered, could rely on gas pumped through National Grid’s national network of 170,000 miles of pipeline, managed by a network of nearly 500 switch points. The instructions to these points are transmitted up into orbit and back, because satellites offer some of the most secure form of communications available.

Whether you pick up a brolly or suncream will depend on the information satellites provide the Met Office. All data for five day forecasts, and 90% of the data for shorter term forecasts, come from satellites. And then of course as you move about, most people now depend on navigation services powered by satellites. And no, satellites are not responsible for driving you into ditches. That’s the software. For many in remote areas, satellites are the only realistic chance of getting online, to fill in DEFRA’s online farm payment forms, perhaps. But perhaps
most of all, the space industry is a real wealth creator for the UK, exporting high technology goods and services across the world. Last year, the PM and the premier of China witnessed the signing of £1.2 billion of UK export deals to China. 10% of these came in the form of a single satellite contract, supplying the data from three earth observation satellites.

But there is also space science, and here the answer to the second question, “can we really afford to do that kind of thing?”, is very different. Quite simply, we do space science for the same reasons we do science. Space is in many areas the best place to do science, and in some fields, the only place. Space is the biggest lab in the universe, free from Earth’s gravity and atmosphere. As Philip Lee highlighted in a recent debate, the International Space Station is a unique lab for the world’s scientists to conduct experiments in microgravity, looking into the impact of ageing on human skin cells, or the impact of zero gravity on bone density (our bones lose 1% of their density for every month in space). And there is also the other reason for discovering more about our Solar System – curiosity. Because we can.  

In September last year, the Parliamentary and Scientific Committee joined with the Parliamentary Space Committee to find out more about some of the most exciting research being conducted today by Britain’s leading planetary scientists, alongside the European Planetary Science Congress, held last year in London for the first time. According to Dr Lewis Dartnell of Leicester University, Mars, our closest and most accessible planetary neighbour, could once have been teeming with life. We now know that billions of years ago, Mars was a more inviting place for life to set hold – it was wetter, warmer and had a thicker atmosphere. Organic molecules would have rained down on its surface, in the same way that brought life to Earth – perhaps, even from Mars, according to one new theory. Europe’s response is its Mars rover, the most sophisticated robot ever to be sent to Mars. The Mars rover is being designed and tested here in the UK, by Astrium, in Stevenage. Capable of withstanding cosmic radiation which would fry a human, this lab on wheels has a six wheel drive and twin robotic cameras, allowing it to see in 3D. But it is its drill that most excites cosmonauts. Enceladus, one of Saturn’s oldest moons and one of the brightest objects in our solar system. Why does such an old moon have such an unrippled surface? Could it be the source of Saturn’s E-ring, the largest ring in the Solar System, made up mostly of water compounds? Could it be the source of search for signs of past life on Enceladus. Why bother looking at comets when a cloud of dust congealed to form our planetary system. Asteroids and comets offer us a unique glimpse into the founding blocks of our solar system. It is almost certain that a major asteroid strike 65 million years ago wiped out the dinosaurs. In the case of a killer asteroid hitting the Earth again, the question is not if, but when. The problem is, we don’t know if it will happen tomorrow or in a million years! The spectacular and damaging airburst of an asteroid above Chelyabinsk on 15th February this year, on the same day, in fact, as a near miss, in cosmic terms, of a far bigger comet, reminded us that the date may be closer to tomorrow than a million years! Fortunately, Britain’s space industry could provide the answer. A team of engineers and scientists at Astrium in Stevenage are working on a suitably Hollywood named project, NEO shield, to look into the technologies to avert the next strike, whenever it may happen. Three ideas are currently being looked at: blasting it into tiny fragments, deflecting it, and slowing it to a halt using gravity.

There have been five close-up views by spacecraft of comets. Some of Man’s earliest recorded art are from China, 3,000 years ago, of comets. The first spacecraft to conduct a flyby of a comet was part designed and
built in Bristol in 1986. The hard
bit is to land on a comet.
Europe’s spacecraft Rosetta
hopes to rendezvous with and
actually put a lander on the
surface of a comet. Put the 10th
November 2014 in your diary!
About 10.30am. The lander will
carry a whole suite of clever
stuff, to study its chemical and
isotopic composition, all in a
piece of kit not much bigger
than a shoebox, so compact in
fact that the technology has
been spun off to detect
tuberculosis in sub-Saharan
Africa, and monitor air quality in
submarines. But now the race is
on. Several space agencies are
mounting missions to asteroids
to bring back significant amounts
of material. In the UK, Astrium is
developing special technologies
to land the lander and carry the
samples safely back to Earth
without contaminating them.
There are also at least two
private consortia developing
technologies to mine asteroids,
backed with big money from
serious investors, including Larry
Page of Google and Walt
Schmidt. If only Britain had
somehow managed to net the
passing comet in February, we
might have been able to pay off
the national debt!

... carry the samples safely
back to Earth ...

Last autumn saw the
prestigious conference,
EuroPlanet, come to London,
hosted by UCL. Attended by
nearly one thousand scientists
from around the world, this was
the biggest such conference yet,
and included sessions in
planetary science on everything
from Mars missions to distant
solar systems. But, most
importantly, this was the first
EuroPlanet ever to hold a
session in a legislative body:
hosted jointly by the
Parliamentary and Scientific
Committee and the
Parliamentary Space Committee.
I was one of three scientists
invited to present an overview of
the research we are involved in,
and its wider significance, to the
packed audience.

I am an astrobiologist; I
investigate the possibility of life
beyond the Earth. I’m not talking
about green bug-eyed aliens
and UFOs, but the possibility of
hardy microorganisms surviving
in the watery environments
found on other planets and
moons in our solar system. As
you might imagine, astrobiology
is a deeply ‘interdisciplinary’ field
of science – it sits as the overlap
between geology and planetary
science, biochemistry and
microbiology, and physics and
astronomy.

My own piece of the puzzle
involves studying what effect the
bombardment of cosmic
radiation might have on the
survival of bacteria, or
‘biosignatures’ of their past
existence, in the martian surface,
and what are the best ways to
look for them. One especially
exciting forthcoming mission is
the ExoMars probe, due to
launch in 2018 to look for signs
of past life. The UK is playing a
leading role in many of the
systems and instruments for this
Mars rover. The UK Space
Agency is funding my fellowship

MARS – did life ever evolve
t here and what will future
exploration reveal?

Dr Lewis Dartnell
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to work on a Raman spectrometer – a laser-based technique which reveals both the minerals of a rock as well as organic molecules indicative of life that might be there. Raman spectrometry is a classic example of a technology that has been tried and tested in civil applications, proving itself time and time again before being adopted for space missions. It is now used for analysing the pigments used in oil paintings to spot fakes, drugs testing, and security scans for traces of explosives at airports. ExoMars will be the first mission to try Raman spectrometry beyond the Earth; scrutinising martian soil and rocks.

The transfer of knowledge and equipment also flows in the opposite direction: space hardware – technology literally out-of-this-world – being repurposed to solve real-world problems back down on solid ground. Instruments designed to be launched to explore other planets need to be simultaneously miniaturised and compact, lightweight, and have very low power demands. These are exactly the attributes required for portable devices – to be used by health workers in rural Africa testing for compounds indicative of different diseases, for example.

This isn’t the only down-to-Earth benefit of astrophysics and space exploration. I devote a lot of my time to delivering public lectures and outreach events at schools (I also hold a Science in Society fellowship from the Science & Technology Facilities Council, STFC) and I have found that few things inspire young minds like hearing about our ongoing exploits in exploring other worlds and searching for life. Encouraging more students to continue with STEM subjects (Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics) through A-levels and undergraduate degrees is utterly critical if the UK is to continue as a world-leader in fundamental discovery and innovation, and for us to financially thrive as an information economy.

Dr. Lewis Dartnell is a research fellow at University of Leicester, and author of ‘Life in the Universe: A Beginner’s Guide’

---

**HOUSE OF LORDS SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY SELECT COMMITTEE**

**Behaviour Change**

In May and June 2014, the Committee took oral evidence from witnesses to follow up on its 2011 report into behaviour change and assess what progress has been made in this area. This focused on the two behaviour change case studies that the Committee had investigated in its original inquiry: modal shift in transport and obesity.

**International STEM students**

In January 2014, the Committee launched a follow up inquiry to its 2012 report on higher education in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) subjects. The inquiry focused specifically on the effect on international STEM students of immigration policy. More than forty written submissions were received, seven oral evidence sessions were held in February and March, and a report published on 11 April 2014. A Government response is expected shortly.

**Waste and the bioeconomy**

The Committee launched an inquiry into waste and the bioeconomy in July 2013. The Call for Evidence closed on 27 September. Evidence was collected on the technology used to exploit bio-waste and waste gases in order to generate high-value products. The inquiry aimed to assess the potential for this technology to enable bio-waste and waste gas to replace current feedstocks, and the potential contribution this could make to a bioeconomy. The Committee published its report on 6 March 2014. A Government response was received in early June.

**Scientific infrastructure**

The Committee launched an inquiry into scientific infrastructure in May 2013. The call for evidence closed on 22 June. Oral evidence was taken across June and July on the large and medium-sized scientific infrastructure currently available in the UK with a particular focus on: future needs and strategic planning, funding and governance arrangements, international partnerships and partnerships with industry. The Committee published its report on 21 November 2013. A Government response was received in February 2014 and a debate held on 13 May 2014.

**Regenerative medicine**

The Committee launched an inquiry into regenerative medicine before the 2012 summer recess. A group from the Committee visited the California Institute for Regenerative Medicine. Oral evidence was taken from October to March 2013. The Committee reported on 1 July 2013 and a Government response was received on 1 October. A debate was held in the Chamber on 13 March 2014.

**Nuclear follow-up**

In July 2013, the Committee undertook an...